

RECEIVED

NOV 10 2016

WASHINGTON STATE
SUPREME COURT

Immediate action Required.

SUPREME COURT OF THE STATE OF WASHINGTON

Habuyo Harbord Petitioner/PLA Supreme Court No. 93529-7

v
Safeway Inc., Respondent/DEF Remand for oral argument with
a certified Japanese translator at
the Court house

Habuyo Harbord/Pro se/Immigrant/Petitioner Demands to have an oral
argument with a certified Japanese Translator at the Court house

ISSUES

(1) Appellant is NOT restricted by Rule 26 at all. Former Plaintiff's attorney
and Defendant/Safeway Inc./Daniel Hurley filed "Stipulated Protective
order Rule 26(c)(2) without knowledge of Petitioner at US District Court
on September 24, 2013. This Malpractice by Two attorneys was filed on
Case: 14-2-26220-5 SEA (other court case).

(2) Appellant did NOT receive many documents which DEF/Daniel Hurley who
filed to US District Court, King County Superior Courts in case No.
13-2-21008-8^{SEA} and case No. 14-2-26220-5 SEA.

(3) DEF/Daniel Hurley in Case No. 13-2-21008-8 SEA as Safeway Inc.'s
1 of 5

Attorney, DEF/Daniel Hurley in Case No. 14-2-26220-5 SEA as One of Six Defendants.

(4) DEF/Safeway Inc./Daniel Hurley filed case No. 13-2-21008-8 SEA "DEF's motion to Summary Judgment" without sending a copy of Summary Judgment's document to Petitioner. Petitioner demanded for "Certificate Questionar" to Daniel Hurley after became "Pro se" from U S District Court, King Co. Superior Court in Two Cases (No. 13-2-21008-8 SEA and No. 14-2-26220-5 SEA). Daniel Hurley FAILED to Answer "Petitioner's questionars" through Three cases. Petitioner

(5) DEF/Daniel Hurley (himself) filed case No. 14-2-26220-5 SEA "DEF's motion to Summary Judgment as Petitioner's file was "SAME CASE"

IT WAS NOT SAME CASE at all. Daniel Hurley mislead the Judge.

(6) At Appeals Court Div [I] denied Petitioner to file "Appellant's Brief."

At Appeals Court Div [I] had only Petitioner/Appellant's preliminary Brief without evidence. Petitioner filed "Notice of Amicus Brief" and "Amicus Brief."

At Appeals Court Div [I] denied the Oral argument Hearing.

Then, Appeals Court DIV [I] allowed as "Appellant's Brief" for "Amicus Brief"

Meanwhile, DEF/Safeway Inc./Daniel Hurley filed "Respondent's Brief."

Appeals Court rejected his Respondent's Brief due to over 10 pages limits,

Following day (One day after original due date) without sending a copy of his Respondent's Brief to Petitioner.

Appeals Court DIV [I] did NOT Default Judgment against DEF/Safeway Inc./Daniel Hurley.

Appeals Court DIV [I] did NOT STRIKE against DEF/Safeway Inc./Daniel Hurley,

Appeals Court DIV [I] still allowed Respondent's Brief, Yet, Appeals Court denied Appellant's Brief. This is discriminated against Petitioner.

So, Petitioner did NOT have any evidence to support her side because

Appeals Court DIV [I] DENIED All of Appellant's Evidence on

Appeals Court DIV [I] DENIED All of possible ways to bring Petitioner's side to the open Court.

(?) How Appeals Court DIV [I] justify without Petitioner's side of evidence?

(8) Petitioner was Harassed by Safeway Inc. (desperated treatments)
• Petitioner was Retaliated by Safeway Inc.
• Petitioner was Harassed and as a result of these Harassments cause Work related injuries. Safeway Inc. refused to give these documents to Petitioner.
• Petitioner was discriminated by Safeway Inc. and Safeway Inc's management.
• Petitioner was Wrongfully terminated by Safeway Inc.

(9) Petitioner witnessed many ex-employees who had similar incidents to forced them out by Safeway Inc.

(10) many of court documents are missing, tampered with, and forged in my opinion.

(11) Petitioner has many witness.

(12) Appeals Court DIV [I] denied to have a certified Japanese translator due to Language Barrier.

(13) DEF/Safeway Inc. FAILED to give specific documents to Petitioner.

(14) DEF/Safeway Inc. needs to pay damages (my integrity - ^①accused of stalking, ^②accused of stealing company's information, and ^③accused of suspicious activities.

(15) DEF/Safeway Inc. needs to pay damages work related injuries.

(16) DEF/Safeway Inc. needs to pay damages of Not paying wages.

(17) DEF/Safeway Inc. needs to pay damages of Not paying 10-15 minutes break which Petitioner should have.

Above these reasons, Petitioner demands for oral argument hearing on this

case. Petitioner wants to say more

H. Harbord 11/10/2016

H. Harbord 11/10/2016 P O Box 112 Sequim, WA 98362

Certificate of Service

I certify that 10th day of November, 2016, I handed "Demand for oral argument hearing" to Supreme Court in Olympia.

Daniel Hurley 925 4th Ave Ste 2900 Seattle, WA 98104